



EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL - ENVIRONMENT EUROPEAN ENVIRONMENT AGENCY EUROPEAN TOPIC CENTRE ON NATURE PROTECTION AND BIODIVERSITY

> Doc. Atl./C/ rev.2 July 2002

ATLANTIC REGION

Conclusions on representativity within pSCI of habitat types and species.

Seminar held at Den Haag, The Netherlands. June 2002



The following codes have been used in these conclusions

| CODE | MEANING | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| SUF | Sufficient representation, no more sites need to be proposed | | |
| SUF CD | Sufficient representation, no more sites need to be proposed provided some corrections to data are made (<i>e.g.</i> ensure all obligatory fields are complete). | | |
| I minor | Insufficient representation but deficits can be completed by noting the habitat/species on existing pSCI where present but not noted | | |
| I mod | Insufficient representation where more sites need to be proposed | | |
| I mod G | Insufficient representation where more sites need to be proposed in a particular region | | |
| I major | Insufficient representation where no or very few sites have been proposed so far | | |
| Scientific reserve | Scientific examination of data &/or other possible sites required | | |
| Marine review | General scientific reserve due to scientific uncertainty about habitat and species distribution in marine (offshore) waters. | | |

BELGIUM

a) Habitats

The Belgium proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|--|
| 1130 | | SUF subject to a review of | |
| | | boundaries | |
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | Check Reference Data |
| 2120 | | SUF | Check Reference data (only known site ?) |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2150 | * | SUF | |
| 2160 | | SUF | |
| 2170 | | SUF | |
| 2180 | | SUF | |
| 2190 | | SUF | |
| 2310 | | SUF | |
| 2330 | | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3130 | | SUF | |
| 3140 | | SUF | |
| 3150 | | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | |
| 5110 | | SUF | |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6210 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 7110 | * | SUF | |
| 7120 | | SUF | |
| 7140 | | SUF | |
| 7150 | | SUF | |
| 7210 | * | SUF | |
| 7220 | * | SUF | |
| 7230 | | SUF | |
| 8310 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | | SUF | |
| 9150 | | SUF | |
| 9180 | * | SUF | |
| 91D0 | * | SUF | |
| 91F0 | | SUF | |

The following habitats were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. Belgium should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|----------|
| 6430 | | I mod G | Wallonie |
| 6510 | | I mod G | Wallonie |
| 9110 | | I mod G | Wallonie |
| 9130 | | I mod G | Wallonie |
| 9160 | | I mod G | Wallonie |

| 9190 | | I mod G | Wallonie |
|------|---|---------|----------|
| 91E0 | * | I mod G | Wallonie |

For the following habitat <u>no conclusion was reached</u> and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|--------------------|---------|
| 6230 | * | Scientific reserve | |

The following habitat also occurs beyond 12 nautical miles and is subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |

b) Species

The Belgium proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | SUF | All known sites |
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | SUF | |
| 1032 | | Unio crassus | SUF | Only known site |
| 1042 | | Leucorrhinia pectoralis | SUF | |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | SUF | |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | SUF | |
| 1134 | | Rhodeus sericeus amarus | SUF | |
| 1145 | | Misgurnus fossilis | SUF | |
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | SUF | |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | SUF | |
| 1304 | | Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum | SUF | |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | SUF | |
| 1318 | | Myotis dasycneme | SUF | |
| 1321 | | Myotis emarginatus | SUF | |
| 1323 | | Myotis bechsteini | SUF. | |
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | SUF | |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | SUF | |
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | SUF | |
| 1903 | | Liparis loeselii | SUF | |

The following species also occurs beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. Belgium should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | I. mod. G | More sites (in Wallonie) |
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | I. mod. G | More sites (in Wallonie) |

GERMANY

a) Habitats

The German proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1130 | | SUF subject to a review of | |
| | | boundaries | |
| 1140 | | SUF | Linked to 1130 boundary review |
| 1160 | | SUF | Linked to 1130 boundary review |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2180 | | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | Remove double entries |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6110 | * | SUF | |
| 6120 | * | SUF | |
| 6130 | | SUF | |
| 6440 | | SUF | |
| 7110 | * | SUF | |
| 8230 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | | SUF | |
| 9180 | * | SUF | Marginal in Atlantic |
| 91F0 | | SUF | |

The following habitats were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment | |
|------|---|------------|---|--|
| 1310 | | SUF CD | Correct data | |
| 2130 | * | SUF CD | Remove double entry & correct data | |
| 2140 | * | SUF CD | Remove double entry & correct data (add to 1 site) | |
| 2150 | * | SUF CD | Correct data | |
| 2190 | | SUF CD | Remove double entry & correct data (Present on other pSCI) | |

For the following habitats no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|--------------------|---|
| 2110 | | Scientific reserve | Check SchleswigHolstein islands |
| 2330 | | Scientific reserve | & Remove double entries |
| 4010 | | Scientific reserve | & Remove double entries |
| 7140 | | Scientific reserve | Check all variation covered & Remove double entries |
| 7210 | * | Scientific reserve | Review other possible sites |
| 9170 | | Scientific reserve | |

The following habitats also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |
| 1170 | | Marine review | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Germany should be asked to examine its data and modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2320 | | I minor/Scientific reserve | & Remove double entries |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Germany should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--|
| 1210 | | I minor | Add data |
| 2160 | | I minor | Add data (Schleswig Holstein, Helgoland) |
| 3270 | | I minor | Add data |
| 6240 | * | I minor | Add data |
| 6430 | | I minor | Add data |
| 7150 | | I minor | |
| 9150 | | I minor | Add data |

The following habitat was assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' together with a need to examine site selection criteria, & with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. Germany should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 3160 | | I mod G/Scientific reserve | Remove double entries |

The following habitats were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. Germany should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---|
| 1220 | | I mod G | Schleswig Holstein Islands |
| 1230 | | I mod G | Islands |
| 2170 | | I mod G | & Correct data |
| 2310 | | I mod G | NW, remove double entries |
| 3110 | | I mod G | NE & remove double entries |
| 3130 | | I mod G | NE & N |
| 3140 | | I mod G | Interpretation problems ? |
| 3150 | | I mod G | Interpretation problems & remove double |
| | | | entries |
| 3260 | | I mod G | & Remove double entry |
| 6210 | * | I mod G | Poor for some subtypes |
| 6230 | * | I mod G | Lowland subtypes |
| 6410 | | I mod G | Ν |
| 6510 | | I mod G | N & Remove double entry |
| 7120 | | I mod G | Ν |
| 9110 | | I mod G | & Remove double entries |
| 9130 | | I mod G | Centre & N; Remove double entries |
| 9160 | | I mod G | & Remove double entries |
| 9190 | | I mod G | & review ref data & Remove double entries |
| 91D0 | * | I mod G | N |
| 91E0 | * | I mod G | N & Remove double entries |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Germany should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------------|
| 1150 | * | I mod | |
| 1330 | | I mod | Upper salt marshes |
| 1340 | * | I mod | |
| 7220 | * | I mod | |

| 7230 | I mod | |
|------|-------|--|

b) Species

The German proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|------------------|
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | SUF | |
| 1193 | | Bombina variegata | SUF | |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | SUF | |
| 1337 | | Castor fiber | SUF | |
| 1383 | | Dichelyma capillaceum | SUF | |
| 1419 | | Botrychium simplex | SUF | Only known site |
| 1437 | | Thesium ebracteatum | SUF | Only known site |
| 1601 | * | Oenanthe conioides | SUF | > 95% population |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | SUF | |
| 1805 | * | Jurinea cyanoides | SUF | |
| 1902 | | Cypripedium calceolus | SUF | All known sites |
| 1903 | | Liparis loeselii | SUF | All known sites |

For the following species no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | Scientific reserve | Check presence |
| 1037 | | Ophiogomphus serpentinus | Scientific reserve | > 60% pop. but check |
| | | | | geographic repartition |
| 1044 | | Coenagrion mercuriale | Scientific reserve | Check geographic |
| | | | | repartition |
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | Scientific reserve | Check : species still |
| | | | | present ? |
| 1078 | * | Callimorpha quadripunctaria | Scientific reserve | Check : no stable |
| | | | | population in Atlantic part |
| 1081 | | Dytiscus latissimus | Scientific reserve | Check : presence ? |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | Scientific reserve | Re-introduction |
| 1134 | | Rhodeus sericeus amarus | Scientific reserve | More important sites ? |
| 1220 | | Emys orbicularis | Scientific reserve | Check : presence ? |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Germany should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | I. minor | Add data |
| | | | | (in 3 sites) |
| 1084 | * | Osmoderma eremita | I. minor | Check new records |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | I. minor | Check data - corrections in |
| | | | | a pSCI |

The following species were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. Germany should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 1061 | | Maculinea nausithous | I. mod. G | More sites |
| | | | | (+ South-West) |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | I. mod. G | More sites (4 eco-regions |
| | | | | without sites) |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | I. mod. G | More sites (+ North) |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | I. mod. G | More sites (+ Rhine) |
| 1130 | | Aspius aspius | I. mod. G | More sites |
| | | | | (Elbe, SW and N) |
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | I. mod. G | More sites (in North and |
| | | | | connections to NL) |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Germany should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1032 | | Unio crassus | I. mod. | |
| 1042 | | Leucorrhinia pectoralis | I. mod. | |
| 1088 | | Cerambyx cerdo | I. mod. | More sites (+5 sites by |
| | | | (+ scientific reserve) | NGO) |
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | I. mod. | More sites |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | I. mod. | More sites |
| 1113 | * | Coregonus oxyrhynchus | I. mod. | More sites (in Rhine and |
| | | | | North) |
| 1145 | | Misgurnus fossilis | I. mod. | More sites (in North) |
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | I. mod. | (high genetic variation |
| | | | | needs to be covered) |
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | I. mod. | Only 10% population and |
| | | | | more important sites |
| 1318 | | Myotis dasycneme | I. mod. | More sites (with buildings) |
| 1323 | | Myotis bechsteini | I. mod. | |
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | I. mod. | |
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | I. mod. | (mostly in North-West) |

The following species was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites. Germany should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1082 | | Graphoderus bilineatus | I. major | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | I. major | Missing sites (Ems, Weser) |
| 1188 | | Bombina bombina | I. major | Add 1 site (more continental species) |

DENMARK

a) Habitats

The Danish proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|------------|
| 1130 | | SUF | No reserve |
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1150 | * | SUF | |
| 1160 | | SUF | |
| 1170 | | SUF | |
| 1210 | | SUF | |

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 1220 | | SUF | |
| 1230 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2140 | * | SUF | |
| 2160 | | SUF | |
| 2170 | | SUF | |
| 2180 | | SUF | |
| 2190 | | SUF | |
| 2250 | * | SUF | |
| 2310 | | SUF | |
| 2320 | | SUF | |
| 2330 | | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3130 | | SUF | |
| 3140 | | SUF | |
| 3150 | | SUF | |
| 3160 | | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6210 | * | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 7110 | * | SUF | |
| 7120 | | SUF | |
| 7140 | | SUF | |
| 7150 | | SUF | |
| 1220 | * | SUF | |
| 7230 | | SUF | |
| 9110 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | | SUF | |
| 9130 | | SUF | |
| 9160 | | SUF | |
| 9190 | | SUF | |
| 91D0 | * | SUF | |
| 91E0 | * | SUF | |

The following habitat also occurs beyond 12 nautical miles and is subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |

b) **Species** The Danish proposals for the following species were assessed as <u>sufficient</u> and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | SUF | |
| 1037 | | Ophiogomphus cecilia | SUF | |

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | SUF | |
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | SUF | |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | SUF | |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF | |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | SUF | |
| 1145 | | Misgurnus fossilis | SUF | |
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | SUF | |
| 1318 | | Myotis dasycneme | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | SUF | |
| 1528 | | Saxifraga hirculus | SUF | |
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | SUF | |
| 1833 | | Najas flexilis | SUF | |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to scientific reserve'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution for rivers & nearshore but the Danish authorities should be asked to examine its distribution offshore and if necessary propose new sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF + scientific reserve | Check : marine area (more |
| | | | | sites ?) |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species was assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Denmark should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------|
| 1113 | * | Coregonus oxyrhynchus | I. mod. | 2 more sites |

SPAIN a) Habitats

The Spanish proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1150 | * | SUF | |
| 1230 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 1420 | | SUF | |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2260 | | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3140 | | SUF | |
| 3150 | | SUF | |
| 3170 | * | SUF | |
| 3220 | | SUF | |
| 3250 | | SUF | |

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | |
| 4060 | | SUF | |
| 4090 | | SUF | |
| 5110 | | SUF | |
| 5120 | | SUF | |
| 5210 | | SUF | |
| 6140 | | SUF | |
| 6160 | | SUF | |
| 6170 | | SUF | |
| 6210 | * | SUF | |
| 6220 | * | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 6420 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 7130 | * | SUF | |
| 7210 | * | SUF | |
| 7220 | * | SUF | |
| 7230 | | SUF | |
| 8130 | | SUF | |
| 8210 | | SUF | |
| 8220 | | SUF | |
| 8230 | | SUF | |
| 8310 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | | SUF | |
| 9150 | | SUF | |
| 9230 | | SUF | |
| 9240 | | SUF | |
| 9260 | | SUF | |
| 92A0 | | SUF | |
| 9340 | | SUF | |
| 9380 | | SUF | |
| 9560 | * | SUF | |

The following habitat was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to revision'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------|
| 3160 | | SUF CD | Correct data |

The following habitats also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |
| 1170 | | Marine review | |
| 8330 | | Marine review | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Spain should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 2230 | | I minor | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. Spain should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---|
| 1130 | | I mod G | Especially to E, & subject to a review of |
| | | | boundaries |
| 1210 | | I mod G | Galicia, Cantabria, (Asturias ?) |
| 3240 | | I mod G | Pays Basque |
| 4040 | * | I mod G | Asturias, Cantabria |
| 7140 | | I mod G | Pays Basque, Asturias |
| 7150 | | I mod G | E |
| 9160 | | I mod G | Especially to E |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Spain should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 1160 | | I mod | |
| 2110 | | I mod | |
| 2120 | | I mod | |
| 6510 | | I mod | |
| 7110 | * | I mod | |
| 91E0 | * | I mod | |
| 9330 | | I mod | |
| 9580 | * | I mod | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites . Spain should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 4020 | * | I major | |

Following comments received after the seminar the following habitat has been removed from the Reference List for the Atlantic region and Spain should <u>delete</u> the sites proposed from the Standard Data forms & associated database

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|--------|---|------------|--------------------------------|
| 92D0 * | * | Delete | Not present in Atlantic region |

b) Species

The Spanish proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1007 | | Elona quimperiana | SUF | |
| 1024 | | Geomalacus maculosus | SUF | |
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | SUF | |
| 1036 | | Macromia splendens | SUF | |
| 1041 | | Oxygastra curtisii | SUF | |
| 1044 | | Coenagrion mercuriale | SUF | |
| 1061 | | Maculinea nausithous | SUF | |
| 1074 | | Eriogaster catax | SUF | All known populations |
| 1079 | | Limoniscus violaceus | SUF | Only known population |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | SUF | |
| 1086 | | Cucujus cinnaberinus | SUF | |
| 1087 | * | Rosalia alpina | SUF | |
| 1088 | | Cerambyx cerdo | SUF | |
| 1092 | | Austropotamobius pallipes | SUF | |

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF | |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF | |
| 1126 | | Chondrostoma toxostoma | SUF | |
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | SUF | All known populations |
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | SUF | |
| 1172 | | Chioglossa lusitanica | SUF | |
| 1220 | | Emys orbicularis | SUF | |
| 1249 | | Lacerta monticola | SUF | |
| 1259 | | Lacerta schreiberi | SUF | |
| 1301 | | Galemys pyrenaicus | SUF | |
| 1302 | | Rhinolophus mehelyi | SUF | |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | SUF | |
| 1304 | | Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum | SUF | |
| 1305 | | Rhinolophus euryale | SUF | |
| 1307 | | Myotis blythi | SUF | |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | SUF | |
| 1310 | | Miniopterus schreibersi | SUF | |
| 1321 | | Myotis emarginatus | SUF | |
| 1323 | | Myotis bechsteini | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |
| 1356 | | Mustela lutreola | SUF | |
| 1420 | | Culcita macrocarpa | SUF | |
| 1441 | | Rumex rupestris | SUF | |
| 1603 | * | Eryngium viviparum | SUF | All known sites |
| 1658 | * | Centaurium somedanum | SUF | |
| 1676 | * | Omphalodes littoralis | SUF | |
| 1733 | | Veronica micrantha | SUF | |
| 1753 | | Jasione lusitanica | SUF | |
| 1796 | * | Centaurea borjae | SUF | |
| 1802 | * | Aster pyrenaeus | SUF | |
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | SUF | |
| 1857 | | Narcissus pseudonarcissus nobilis | SUF | |
| 1862 | | Narcissus cyclamineus | SUF | |
| 1865 | | Narcissus asturiensis | SUF | |
| 1885 | | Festuca elegans | SUF | |
| 1891 | | Festuca summilusitanica | SUF | |

The following species were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to revision'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 1046 | | Gomphus graslinii | SUF CD | Add data |
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | SUF CD | Remove double entry |
| 1421 | | Trichomanes speciosum | SUF CD | Correct data (high evaluation) |
| 1426 | | Woodwardia radicans | SUF CD | Correct data (high evaluation) |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 1775 | | Santolina semidentata | SUF CD | Correct data |

For the following habitats no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1078 | * | Callimorpha quadripunctaria | Scientific reserve | Common species and a |
| | | | | pest in Spain |
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | Scientific reserve | Check : in Galicia ? |
| 1116 | | Chondrostoma polylepis | Scientific reserve | Check : probably |
| | | | | insufficient |
| 1123 | | Rutilus alburnoides | Scientific review | Check : presence ? ¹ |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Spain should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 1194 | | Discoglossus galganoi | I. minor | Add data in 1 site |
| 1221 | | Mauremys leprosa | I. minor | Add data in pSCI |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. Spain should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|------------|---|
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | I. mod. G | More sites (in Asturias) |
| 1354 | * | Ursus arctos | I. mod. G | More sites (in Asturias) and remove double entry |
| 1398 | | Sphagnum pylaisii | I. mod. G | |
| 1425 | * | Dryopteris corleyi | I. mod. G | More sites (+ Asturias) |
| 1625 | | Soldanella villosa | I. mod. G | More sites (in Pais Vasco and Cantarbria) |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Spain should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------|------------|------------|
| 1127 | | Rutilus arcasii | I. mod. | More sites |

The following species was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites. Spain should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | I. major | |
| 1639 | | Limonium lanceolatum | I. major | |

FRANCE

a) Habitats

The French proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1150 | * | SUF | |
| 1210 | | SUF | |
| 1220 | | SUF | |

¹ After the seminar, ES confirmed the absence of species (present only in the Mediterranean region of Spain) – This species is now deleted from the reference list for the Atlantic region.

| 1230 | | SLIE | |
|--------------|---|------------|----------------------|
| | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 1410 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2160 | | SUF | |
| 2180 | | SUF | |
| 2190 | | SUF | |
| 2270 | * | SUF | |
| 2330 | | SUF | |
| 3140 | | SUF | |
| 3150 | | SUF | |
| 3160 | | SUF | |
| 3170 | * | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4020 | * | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | |
| 5110 | | SUF | |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6110 | * | SUF | |
| 6130 | | SUF | |
| 6210 | * | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6420 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 6510 | | SUF | |
| 7110 | * | SUF | |
| 7120 | * | SUF | |
| 7130 | * | SUF | |
| 7150 | * | SUF | |
| 7210 | Ŷ | SUF | |
| 8110 | | SUF | |
| 8130 | | SUF | |
| 8150 | * | SUF | |
| 8160 | Ŷ | SUF | |
| 8210 | | SUF | |
| 8220 | + | SUF | |
| 8230 | + | SUF | |
| 8310 | | SUF | |
| 9110 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | + | SUF | |
| 9150 | + | SUF | |
| 9160 | + | SUF | |
| 9190 01E0 | * | SUF | |
| 91E0 | - | SUF | |
| 91F0 | + | SUF | |
| 9230 | | SUF | Marginal in Atlantic |
| 92A0 9540 | + | SUF SUF | |
| 7540 | 1 | SUF | |

The following habitats were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------|
| 1320 | | SUF CD | Correct data |

| 2110 | SUF CD | Correct data |
|--------|--------|--------------|
| 4090 | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 91D0 * | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 9260 | SUF CD | Correct data |

For the following habitats no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 6410 | | Scientific reserve | Check all variation covered |
| 9330 | | Scientific reserve | Present in Aquitaine ? |

The following habitats also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |
| 1170 | | Marine review | |
| 8330 | | Marine review | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. France should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------------------------|
| 2130 | * | I minor G | Aquitaine, NPdCalais |
| 2150 | * | I minor G | Add data (Gironde & NpdCalais) |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. France should be asked to modify the relevant standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1160 | | I minor | Add data |
| 1420 | | I minor | Add data (1 site) |
| 2170 | | I minor | Add data |
| 2260 | | I minor | Add data (2 sites) |
| 7230 | | I minor | Add data (Marais Vernier) |
| 9180 | * | I minor | Add data (2 sites in Massif Central) |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. France should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--|
| 1130 | | I mod G | Especially N/NE & subject to a review of |
| | | | boundaries |
| 3110 | | I mod G | Ht Normandie, etc |
| 3130 | | I mod G | Aquitaine, Ht Normandie |
| 4040 | * | I mod G | Pays Basque |
| 6120 | * | I mod G | IdF/Vallée de la Seine |
| 6220 | * | I mod G | Massif Central |
| 7140 | | I mod G | SW |
| 9130 | | I mod G | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. France should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 3120 | | I mod | & Correct data |
| 7220 | * | I mod | |
| 9340 | | I mod | Poitou Charente |

b) Species

The French proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1007 | | Elona quimperiana | SUF | |
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | SUF | |
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | SUF | 100% known population |
| 1036 | | Macromia splendens | SUF | |
| 1037 | | Ophiogomphus cecilia | SUF | |
| 1041 | | Oxygastra curtisii | SUF | |
| 1046 | | Gomphus graslinii | SUF | |
| 1059 | | Maculinea teleius | SUF | |
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | SUF | |
| 1074 | | Eriogaster catax | SUF | |
| 1078 | * | Callimorpha quadripunctaria | SUF | |
| 1079 | | Limoniscus violaceus | SUF | |
| 1082 | | Graphoderus bilineatus | SUF | |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | SUF | |
| 1088 | 1 | Cerambyx cerdo | SUF | |
| 1095 | 1 | Petromyzon marinus | SUF | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | SUF | |
| 1101 | * | Acipenser sturio | SUF | |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF | |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | SUF | |
| 1138 | | Barbus meridionalis | SUF | |
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | SUF | |
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | SUF | |
| 1193 | | Bombina variegata | SUF | Check NGO data (Bassin parisien) |
| 1220 | | Emys orbicularis | SUF | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1301 | | Galemys pyrenaicus | SUF | |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | SUF | |
| 1318 | | Myotis dasycneme | SUF | |
| 1323 | | Myotis bechsteini | SUF | |
| 1337 | | Castor fiber | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |
| 1383 | | Dichelyma capillaceum | SUF | |
| 1398 | | Sphagnum pylaisii | SUF | |
| 1421 | | Trichomanes speciosum | SUF | |
| 1428 | 1 | Marsilea quadrifolia | SUF | |
| 1603 | * | Eryngium viviparum | SUF | 100% population |
| 1607 | * | Angelica heterocarpa | SUF | |
| 1618 | 1 | Thorella verticillatinundata | SUF | |
| 1676 | * | Omphalodes littoralis | SUF | |
| 1831 | 1 | Luronium natans | SUF | |
| 1832 | 1 | Caldesia parnassifolia | SUF | |
| 1868 | 1 | Narcissus triandrus capax | SUF | 100% population |
| 1903 | 1 | Liparis loeselii | SUF | F - F |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to revision'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| | Ρ | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|--------|---|--------------|------------|---|
| 0040 1 | - | Species mane | Contrabion | 0 0 mm |

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|------------------|------------|---------------------|
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF CD | Remove double entry |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to scientific reserve'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the French authorities should be asked to examine its distribution and if necessary propose new sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | SUF + Scientific reserve | Check :presence in Ile-de- |
| | | | | France ? |

For the following species no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1071 | | Coenonympha oedippus | Scientific reserve | Check : in Charente ? |
| 1084 | * | Osmoderma eremita | Scientific reserve | Fragmented populations More sites ² |
| 1887 | | Coleanthus subtilis | Scientific reserve | (+ 3 sites - on artificial banks ?) |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. France should be asked to modify the relevant standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1032 | | Unio crassus | I. minor | Add data |
| 1042 | | Leucorrhinia pectoralis | I. minor | Add data |
| 1044 | | Coenagrion mercuriale | I. minor | Add data (+ Forêt de Rambouillet) |
| 1126 | | Chondrostoma toxostoma | I. minor | Add data |
| | | | | (in Adour) |

The following species were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. France should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|---|
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | I. mod. G | More sites (+ Aquitaine) |
| 1060 | | Lycaena dispar | I. mod. G | More sites (+ Picardie, Pays-de-Loire) |
| 1087 | * | Rosalia alpina | I. mod. G | More sites (+ South-West) |
| 1092 | | Austropotamobius pallipes | I. mod. G | More sites (mainly in South-West) |
| 1134 | | Rhodeus sericeus amarus | I. mod. G | More sites (Bassin Parisien) |
| 1304 | | Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum | I. mod. G | More sites |

² After the seminar, the ETC/NPB received the document : "Dossier IFEN : *Osmoderma eremita* Scopoli 1763 – Carte de répartition réalisée à partir de notre banque de données, associée à une évaluation de l'état de conservation des sites. Carte : MNHN/SPN – OPIE –Brustel.H. 2000". One site "Forêt de Sare" (Pyrénées-Atlantique, Aquitaine) presents the biggest population and a very good conservation status for this species.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | (Ile-de-France) and remove |
| | | | | double entry |
| 1305 | | Rhinolophus euryale | I. mod. G | More sites |
| | | | | (in South-West) |
| 1307 | | Myotis blythii | I. mod. G | More sites |
| | | | | (in South-West) |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | I. mod. G | More sites (Pays de Loire, |
| | | | | Aquitaine) |
| 1321 | | Myotis emarginatus | I. mod. G | Mores sites |
| | | | | (in South-West) |
| 1625 | | Soldanella villosa | I. mod. G | More sites (in Pyrénées- |
| | | | | Atlantiques) |
| 1441 | | Rumex rupestris | I. mod.G | More sites (+ Aquitaine) |
| 1493 | | Sisymbrium supinum | I. mod.G | More sites |
| | | | | (in Nord-Pas-de-Calais and |
| | | | | ? in Ile-de-France) |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. France should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------|---|
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | I. mod. | |
| 1310 | | Miniopterus schreibersi | I. mod. | |
| 1356 | | Mustela lutreola | I. mod. | More sites (in South-West) |
| 1416 | | Isoetes boryana | I. mod. | |
| 1506 | | Biscutella neustriaca | I. mod. | One more site (in the site for habitat 6120) |
| 1585 | * | Viola hispida | I. mod. | One more site (in Seine-Maritime: stable pop. ?) |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | I. mod. | More sites (+Normandie, North and extension of sites) |

IRELAND

a) Habitats The Irish proposals for the following habitats were assessed as <u>sufficien</u>t and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|---------|
| 1130 | | SUF subject to a review of | |
| | | boundaries | |
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1160 | | SUF | |
| 1210 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 1410 | | SUF | |
| 1420 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2140 | * | SUF | |
| 2150 | * | SUF | |
| 2170 | | SUF | |
| 21A0 | * | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |

| 3160 | | SUF | |
|------|---|-----|--|
| 3180 | * | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 7130 | * | SUF | |
| 8110 | | SUF | |
| 8120 | | SUF | |
| 8210 | | SUF | |
| 8220 | | SUF | |
| 91A0 | | SUF | |
| 91J0 | * | SUF | |

The following habitats were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------|
| 1320 | | SUF CD | Correct data |

For the following habitats no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment | |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1230 | | Scientific reserve | Examine NGO sites in SW | |
| 2190 | | Scientific reserve | Check NGO proposals in SE (1 key site | |
| | | | missing ?) | |
| 3130 | | Scientific reserve | | |
| 3150 | | Scientific reserve | Review 3 sites deleted from list | |
| 4010 | | Scientific reserve | Especially S & centre | |
| 4030 | | Scientific reserve | Especially to SE | |
| 6130 | | Scientific reserve | | |
| 6510 | | Scientific reserve | Site missing in NW | |
| 8240 | * | Scientific reserve | Examine data | |
| 8310 | | Scientific reserve | Examine geographical coverage | |
| 91D0 | * | Scientific reserve | | |

The following habitats also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |
| 1170 | | Marine review | |
| 8330 | | Marine review | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Ireland should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|-------------------|
| 1220 | | I minor G | SW - Add data |
| 3140 | | I minor G | Add data (Galway) |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Ireland should be asked to modify the relevant standard data forms and associated database.

| Code P Conclusion | Comment |
|-------------------|---------|
|-------------------|---------|

| 1150 | * | I minor | Add data |
|------|---|---------|----------|
| 4060 | | I minor | SW |
| 7220 | * | I minor | Add data |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. Ireland should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment | |
|------|---|------------|---|--|
| 6210 | * | I mod G | W & NE | |
| 7110 | * | I mod G | Revise ref data then aim for at least 60% | |
| 7140 | | I mod G | SE | |
| 7210 | * | I mod G | S | |
| 7230 | | I mod G | S | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Ireland should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|-------------------------------------|
| 7120 | | I mod | Revise ref data then aim for at 50% |
| 91E0 | * | I mod | Check NGO proposals |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites . Ireland should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 7150 | | I major | |

b) Species

The Irish proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1013 | | Vertigo geyeri | SUF | |
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | SUF | |
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | SUF | |
| 1024 | | Geomalacus maculosus | SUF | |
| 1990 | | Margaritifera durrovensis | SUF | Only known site |
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | SUF | Check : 3 sites proposed by NGO ? |
| 1395 | | Petalophyllum ralfsii | SUF | |
| 1421 | | Trichomanes speciosum | SUF | |
| 1528 | | Saxifraga hirculus | SUF | |
| 1833 | | Najas flexilis | SUF | |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to scientific reserve'</u>, *i.e.* the Irish authorities should be asked to further examine their proposals and if necessary propose new sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF + Scientific reserve | No breeding sites but |
| | | | | check other important areas |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF + scientific reserve | Check : in estuaries ? |

For the following species no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | Scientific reserve | Check one site (by NGO) |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | Scientific reserve | Check :more sites by NGO (in South-West) |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Ireland should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | I. mod. | |
| 1092 | | Austropotamobius pallipes | I. mod. | Extension of sites (as for salmon) |
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | I. mod. | Extension of 1 site + one site |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | I. mod. | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | I. mod. | |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | I. mod. | More sites (+ 4 sites and extension) |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | I. mod. | More sites (as for Salmon) |

NETHERLANDS

a) Habitats

The Dutch proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------------|
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1160 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2160 | | SUF | |
| 2180 | | SUF | |
| 2310 | | SUF | |
| 2330 | | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 6110 | * | SUF | |
| 6130 | | SUF | |
| 6510 | | SUF | Scientific reserve |
| 7110 | * | SUF | Scientific reserve |
| 9110 | | SUF | |
| 91E0 | * | SUF | |

The following habitats were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------|
| 3150 | | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 4030 | | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 6230 | * | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 7120 | | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 7150 | | SUF CD | Correct data |

| 721 | 0 | * | SUF CD | Correct data |
|-----|----|---|--------|--------------|
| 919 | 0 | | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 91D | 00 | * | SUF CD | Correct data |

The following habitat also occurs beyond 12 nautical miles and is subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |

The following habitats were assessed as '<u>insufficiently represented'</u> but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. The Netherlands should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|-------------------|
| 2140 | * | I minor | Add data |
| 2150 | * | I minor | Add data /Reserve |
| 2170 | | I minor | Add data |
| 2190 | | I minor | Add data |
| 3160 | | I minor | Add data |
| 3260 | | I minor | Add data |

The following habitats were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|----------------|
| 6430 | | I mod G | & Correct data |
| 7140 | | I mod G | NW |
| 9160 | | I mod G | & correct data |
| 91F0 | | I mod G | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1130 | | I mod | & subject to a review of boundaries |
| 2320 | | I mod | |
| 3140 | | I mod | |
| 4010 | | I mod | G & ecological variation |
| 6210 | * | I mod | |
| 7230 | | I mod | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites in one region. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 6410 | | I major G | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites . The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 3130 | | I major | |
| 5130 | | I major | |
| 6120 | * | I major | |
| 7220 | * | I major | |
| 9120 | | I major | |

b) Species

The Dutch proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | SUF | |
| 1042 | | Leucorrhinia pectoralis | SUF | |
| 1059 | | Maculinea teleius | SUF | |
| 1060 | | Lycaena dispar | SUF | |
| 1061 | | Maculinea nausithous | SUF | |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | SUF | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF | |
| 1193 | | Bombina variegata | SUF | |
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | SUF | |
| 1337 | | Castor fiber | SUF | |
| 1387 | | Orthotrichum rogeri | SUF | |
| 1903 | | Liparis loeselii | SUF | |

The following species were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to scientific reserve'</u>, *i.e.* the Irish authorities should be asked to further examine their proposals and if necessary propose new sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | SUF + Scientific reserve | More sites ? |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF + Scientific reserve | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | SUF + Scientific reserve | Check : need new sites |

For the following species no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1081 | | Dytiscus latissimus | Scientific reserve | Check : presence ? ³ |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | Scientific reserve | Check : presence ? |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | Scientific reserve | Check : presence ? |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as '<u>insufficiently represented'</u> but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. The Netherlands should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------|------------|----------|
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | I. minor | Add data |

The following species were assessed as '<u>moderately insufficiently represented</u>' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | I. mod. G | More sites (in North-West) |
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | I. mod. G | More sites (in Ijssel river |
| | | | | system) |
| 1318 | | Myotis dasycneme | I. mod. G | More sites (in N and NW) |

 $[\]frac{1}{3}$ After the seminar, NL confirmed the absence of species – This species is now deleted from the reference list for the Atlantic region.

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1134 | | Rhodeus sericeus amarus | I. mod. | More sites (in NW ?) |
| 1145 | | Misgurnus fossilis | I. mod. | |
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | I. mod. | More sites (in North-West) |
| 1321 | | Myotis emarginatus | I. mod. | |
| 1340 | * | Microtus oeconomus arenicola | I. mod. + Scientific reserve | |
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | I. mod. | Change the boundaries (species out of the site) |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | I. mod. | |

The following species was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites. The Netherlands should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | I. major | 0 sites |
| 1037 | | Ophiogomphus cecilia | I. major | 0 sites |
| 1078 | * | Callimorpha quadripunctaria | I. major | |
| 1082 | | Graphoderus bilineatus | I. major | |

PORTUGAL

a) Habitats

The Portuguese proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|----------------------------|---------|
| 1110 | | SUF | |
| 1130 | | SUF subject to a review of | |
| | | boundaries | |
| 1140 | | SUF | |
| 1210 | | SUF | |
| 1230 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2130 | * | SUF | |
| 2150 | * | SUF | |
| 2270 | * | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3220 | | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 3270 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4020 | * | SUF | |
| 4030 | | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 8220 | | SUF | |
| 8230 | | SUF | |
| 8310 | | SUF | |
| 91E0 | * | SUF | |

| 9230 | SUF | |
|------|-----|--|
| 9330 | SUF | |

The following habitat was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data form and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|--------------|
| 6510 | | SUF CD | Correct data |

The following habitat also occurs beyond 12 nautical miles and is subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1170 | | Marine review | |

The following habitats were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Portugal should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|----------|
| 2190 | | I minor | Add data |
| 2230 | | I minor | Add data |
| 3160 | | I minor | Add data |
| 3170 | * | I minor | Add data |
| 7140 | | I major | Add data |
| 7150 | | I major | 2 sites |
| 9380 | | I major | Add data |

b) Species

The Portuguese proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | SUF | |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF | |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | SUF | |
| 1172 | | Chioglossa lusitanica | SUF | |
| 1259 | | Lacerta schreiberi | SUF | |
| 1301 | | Galemys pyrenaicus | SUF | |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | SUF | Only known site |
| 1304 | | Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum | SUF | |
| 1305 | | Rhinolophus euryale | SUF | |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | SUF | |
| 1321 | | Myotis emarginatus | SUF | |
| 1352 | * | Canis lupus | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |
| 1390 | * | Marsupella profunda | SUF | |
| 1421 | | Trichomanes speciosum | SUF | |
| 1426 | | Woodwardia radicans | SUF | |
| 1733 | | Veronica micrantha | SUF | |
| 1753 | | Jasione lusitanica | SUF | |
| 1793 | | Centaurea micrantha ssp. herminii | SUF | |
| 1862 | | Narcissus cyclamineus | SUF | |
| 1885 | | Festuca elegans | SUF | |
| 1890 | | Festuca henriquesii | SUF | |
| 1891 | | Festuca summilusitanica | SUF | Only known site |

The following species were assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to revision'</u>, *i.e.* the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1123 | | Rutilus alburnoides | SUF CD | Correct data (no population |
| | | | | data) |
| 1127 | | Rutilus arcasii | SUF CD | Correct data |
| 1420 | | Culcita macrocarpa | SUF CD | Correct data (evaluation) |

The following species were assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. Portugal should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| 1116 | | Chondrostoma polylepis | I. minor | Add data |
| 1194 | | Discoglossus galganoi | I. minor | Add data in pSCI |
| 1310 | | Miniopterus schreibersi | I. minor | Add data (in 1 site) |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. Portugal should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1024 | | Geomalacus maculosus | I. mod. | |
| 1041 | | Oxygastra curtisii | I. mod. | |
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | I. mod. | |
| 1078 | * | Callimorpha quadripunctaria | I. mod. | |
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | I. mod. | |
| 1385 | | Bruchia vogesiaca | I. mod. | |
| 1388 | * | Bryoerythrophyllum campylocarpum | I. mod. | |

The following species was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' with a major lack of sites. Portugal should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|------------------|------------|------------|
| 1220 | | Emys orbicularis | I. major | |
| 1324 | | Myotis myotis | I. major | Add 1 site |

UNITED KINGDOM

a) Habitats

The British proposals for the following habitats were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 1150 | * | SUF | |
| 1210 | | SUF | |
| 1220 | | SUF | |
| 1310 | | SUF | |
| 1320 | | SUF | |
| 1330 | | SUF | |
| 1340 | * | SUF | |
| 1420 | | SUF | |
| 2110 | | SUF | |
| 2120 | | SUF | |
| 2140 | * | SUF | |
| 2150 | * | SUF | |
| 2160 | | SUF | |
| 2170 | | SUF | |
| 2190 | | SUF | |

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------|
| 2250 | * | SUF | |
| 2330 | | SUF | |
| 3110 | | SUF | |
| 3140 | | SUF | |
| 3160 | | SUF | |
| 3170 | * | SUF | |
| 3180 | * | SUF | |
| 3260 | | SUF | |
| 4010 | | SUF | |
| 4020 | * | SUF | |
| 4040 | * | SUF | |
| 4080 | | SUF | |
| 5110 | | SUF | |
| 5130 | | SUF | |
| 6130 | | SUF | |
| 6170 | | SUF | |
| 6230 | * | SUF | |
| 6410 | | SUF | |
| 6430 | | SUF | |
| 6510 | | SUF | |
| 6520 | | SUF | |
| 7150 | | SUF | |
| 7210 | * | SUF | |
| 7220 | * | SUF | |
| 7230 | | SUF | |
| 7240 | * | SUF | |
| 8120 | | SUF | |
| 8210 | | SUF | |
| 8240 | * | SUF | |
| 8310 | | SUF | |
| 9120 | | SUF | |
| 9160 | | SUF | |
| 9190 | | SUF | |
| 91C0 | * | SUF | |
| 91D0 | * | SUF | |
| 91E0 | * | SUF | |
| 91J0 | * | SUF | |

For the following habitats no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|--------------------|--|
| 21A0 | * | Scientific reserve | Clarify Interpretation |
| 3130 | | Scientific reserve | Probably SUF but explain Ref data (low % |
| | | | cover) |
| 3150 | | Scientific reserve | Possible sites in Yorkshire |
| 6210 | * | Scientific reserve | For orchid rich subtypes |
| 7130 | * | Scientific reserve | Check Ref data |
| 9180 | * | Scientific reserve | Check interpretation against other MS |

The following habitats also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|---------------|---------|
| 1110 | | Marine review | |
| 1170 | | Marine review | |
| 8330 | | Marine review | |

The following habitat was assessed as 'insufficiently represented' but the proposals can be made sufficient using sites currently proposed for other habitats &/or species. The United Kingdom should be asked to modify the relevent standard data forms and associated database.

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|----------|
| 1140 | | I minor | Add data |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the habitat range. The United Kingdom should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Conclusion | Comment |
|------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1130 | | I mod G | & subject to a review of boundaries |
| 1160 | | I mod G | SW Scotland |
| 1230 | | I mod G | SE England |
| 2130 | * | I mod G | N Scotland, Sefton |
| 6150 | | I mod G | Linked to 4060 |
| 7110 | * | I mod G | N Ireland to incease % aiming for 60% |
| 7120 | | I mod G | England (3 new & 2 amended sites) |
| 7140 | | I mod G | England & Scotland |
| 8110 | | I mod G | Link to 4060 |
| 8220 | | I mod G | Link to 4060 |
| 9130 | | I mod G | Chilterns |

The following habitats were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. The United Kingdom should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | P | Conclusion | Comment | |
|------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 4030 | | I mod | Arctostaphylos heaths (H16) | |
| | | | Oceanic subtypes (eg H10, 21) | |
| | | | Upland heaths in N England/S Scotland | |
| | | | Lowland Scottish Heaths (eg Angus, | |
| | | | Aberdeenshire) | |
| 4060 | | I mod | Scottish liverwort rich sites | |
| 91A0 | | I mod | | |

b) Species

The British proposals for the following species were assessed as sufficient and no further sites need to be proposed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1013 | | Vertigo geyeri | SUF | |
| 1015 | | Vertigo genesii | SUF | |
| 1016 | | Vertigo moulinsiana | SUF | |
| 1029 | | Margaritifera margaritifera | SUF | |
| 1044 | | Coenagrion mercuriale | SUF | |
| 1079 | | Limoniscus violaceus | SUF | |
| 1092 | | Austropotamobius pallipes | SUF | |
| 1095 | | Petromyzon marinus | SUF | |
| 1096 | | Lampetra planeri | SUF | |
| 1099 | | Lampetra fluviatilis | SUF | |
| 1102 | | Alosa alosa | SUF | |
| 1103 | | Alosa fallax | SUF | |
| 1149 | | Cobitis taenia | SUF | |
| 1163 | | Cottus gobio | SUF | |
| 1303 | | Rhinolophus hipposideros | SUF | |
| 1304 | | Rhinolophus ferrumequinum | SUF | |
| 1355 | | Lutra lutra | SUF | |

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1390 | * | Marsupella profunda | SUF | |
| 1393 | | Drepanocladus vernicosus | SUF | |
| 1421 | | Trichomanes speciosum | SUF | |
| 1441 | | Rumex rupestris | SUF | |
| 1528 | | Saxifraga hirculus | SUF | |
| 1614 | | Apium repens | SUF | |
| 1654 | | Gentianella anglica | SUF | |
| 1831 | | Luronium natans | SUF | |
| 1902 | | Cypripedium calceolus | SUF | |
| 1903 | | Liparis loeselii | SUF | |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to correction of data'</u>, i.e. the proposals cover sufficient areas with a good geographical distribution but the standard data forms and associated database require some corrections.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-------------------|------------|--------------|
| 1386 | | Buxbaumia viridis | SUF CD | Correct data |

The following species was assessed as <u>'sufficient subject to scientific reserve'</u>, *i.e.* the British authorities should be asked to further examine their proposals and if necessary propose new sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1083 | | Lucanus cervus | SUF + Scientific reserve | Low proportion. Check |
| | | | | whether additional sites |
| | | | | can be identified |

For the following species no conclusion was reached and further examination is needed

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1014 | | Vertigo angustior | Scientific reserve | Check : in Scotland |
| 1308 | | Barbastella barbastellus | Scientific reserve | Check : new data ? |
| 1323 | | Myotis bechsteinii | Scientific reserve | As 1308 – Check : new |
| | | | | data ? |

The following species also occur beyond 12 nautical miles and are subject to a general reserve

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 1349 | | Tursiops truncatus | Marine review | |
| 1351 | | Phocoena phocoena | Marine review | |
| 1364 | | Halichoerus grypus | Marine review | |
| 1365 | | Phoca vitulina | Marine review | |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented' with a lack of sites in a particular part of the species range. The United Kingdom should be asked to propose more sites, particularly in the region noted

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1065 | | Euphydryas aurinia | I. mod. G | More sites |
| | | | | (+ N. Ireland) |
| 1106 | | Salmo salar | I. mod. G | More sites (N. Ireland plus |
| | | | | Dee and Tay in Scotland) |
| 1833 | | Najas flexilis | I. mod. G | One more site (in West of |
| | | | | mainland Scotland) |

The following species were assessed as 'moderately insufficiently represented'. The United Kingdom should be asked to propose more sites.

| Code | Р | Species name | Conclusion | Comments |
|------|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 1166 | | Triturus cristatus | I. mod. | More sites (Dorset) |
| 1395 | | Petalophyllum ralfsii | I. mod. | Additional site (Sefton) |